### **MINUTES**

Date of Meeting: September 27, 2011

Type of Meeting: Work Session

Place of Meeting: Middle School Auditorium

Members Present: Vice President Roy Lester

Board Member Patrick E. Gallagher

Board Member Gina Guma

Members Absent: President Dennis Ryan

**Board Member Darlene Tangney** 

Others Present: Mr. David Weiss, Superintendent

Dr. Randie Berger, Deputy Superintendent

Dr. Vincent Butera, Assistant Superintendent - Curriculum

and Instruction

Mr. Michael DeVito, Chief Operating Officer

Ms. Carole Butler, District Clerk

## I. Call to Order/Pledge/Opening Remarks

Vice President Lester called the meeting to order at 7:35 PM, led the community in the Pledge of Allegiance and introduced the presentation.

Call to Order

# II. Work Session Topic: APPR – Annual Professional Performance Review

Work Session Topic: APPR Presentation

Superintendent Weiss explained the rationale for the APPR, Education Law 3012-C, and requirements for Race to the Top. NYS is now required to evaluate teachers and principals using student data as a component. Teacher effectiveness is key to school reform; classroom interaction between student and teacher is what matters most. Utilization will maximize development of professional staff.

Annual Professional Performance Review Presentation by Dr. Randie Berger with Steve Freeman Highlights include: Purpose of the Evaluation System, State Performance Ratings, Composite Score, Unfunded Costs, State Assessments 20%, Locally Selected Assessments 20%, Multiple Measures 60%, and Observation Component for Teachers Costs 20%, Other Measures for Teachers, Costs 20% Multiple Measures 60%, Costs, Timeline, and Links. Presentation is online and on file with the District Clerk.

#### III. Board of Education Comments

Board of Education Comments

- Board Member Gallagher questioned APPR regarding grades 4-8 for this year for ELA and Math
  and about all grades for next school year; discussed difficulty of comparing student data for
  specific one year course like Chemistry; asked about using teaching assistants as proctors;
  suggested teaching standards be available on website, requested clarification of differences
  between the 26 student learning standards and standards for teaching; concerned about lack of
  mention of skills.
- Board Member Guma asked about present proctors, evaluation/calculation of costs; questioned possibility of trainers being brought in.
- Vice President Lester requested clarification on the Race to the Top funding– Federal -\$4 billion; NYS \$700 million; Long Beach \$194,000 over 4 years.

Supt. Weiss spoke about growth standards, more easily measured by standardized testing than single year courses; proctoring requirements arising from cheating but cost-effectiveness still to be determined; value-added measures which could be problematic; performance based assessments; training/time requirements for administrators to be outside building; expedited 3020As- due process for tenured teachers; teaching standards which are posted; student learning standards which are content specific; evaluative teaching standards for teachers; changing of state standards to common core standards; APPR implementation contingent upon agreement with bargaining units; difficulty, impracticality and expense for creating our own rubrics.

Dr. Berger explained that the data system connects student performance to teachers. Need to purchase system to align with student and teacher management systems.

## IV. Public Comment on the Work Session Topic

Public Comment on Work Session Topic - APPR

 Gerry Hunt – 923 Oceanfront – visited with 21 teachers last week all of whom demonstrated capability, commitment, and caring; expressed concerns on financing proctors, unfunded mandates.

## Public Comment on the Work Session Topic (continued)

- Ellen Sackstein 522 Shore Road questioned logic of securing assessments –noted her inability
  to check daughter's state assessment; awaiting response from Board of Regents; qualifications to
  become a proctor; loss of instructional time.
- Ari Pine 159 Magnolia Boulevard requested explanation regarding rubrics creation and costs;
   3020A process.
- Geri Maquet 523 E. State Street asked difference between local assessments and state assessments, whether parents will be informed in advance about the scheduling of local assessments and whether proctors will be required.

Vice President Lester played an audiotape of a story about the impact of a teacher on a student's life.

## V. Union Comment on Work Session Topic

Union Comment on Work Session Topic - APPR

- Long Beach Classroom Teachers' Association Mr. Steve Freeman no new rules go into effect until APPR is negotiated and adopted by the Board of Education and no LI district has adopted yet; most assessments will be formative and summative; it is possible to evaluate learning by Kindergarteners and students in other subject areas, from beginning of the school year to the end; art is the most difficult to rate; teachers are well-aware of teaching standards which are separate from curriculum; NYSED vs. Board of Regents is in appeal; supports new APPR which is fair, objective and benefits students; confident about coming to agreement with Supt. Weiss.
- Administrative, Supervisory and PPS Group Mr. Arnie Epstein Group B understands its
  obligation to negotiate in a timely yet cautious manner; glad that temporary APPR has been
  adopted; there is good will amongst all groups.

VI.	Vice President	Lester called for	or a motion to ad	iourn the Work	Session at 8:57 PM

Motion by:

Seconded by:

Approved:

Minutes submitted by:

Board Member Guma

Adjournment

Adjournment

Carole Butler, District Clerk October 11, 2011